

No.	* A CID # Washington, C	D.C. 20231
<i>b</i>	TATES OF	m= ·
APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY, DOCKET NO.
08/889,975 07/10/97	YANAL	G 30/01
	•	EXAMINER
et e	TM12/1026	
TJEFFREY 1 KAPLAN		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
KAPLAN & GILMAN 900 ROUTE 9 NORTH:		17
5TH FLOOR		2152
WOODBRIDGE NJ 07095	The State of the S	DATE MAILED: 10/26/00
બોર્ટ હોલ્પાના કહ્યું છે. ઉપરાસ્ત્ર કર્યા કહ્યું હતું	AS THE MEDICAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	t for feat, the conservation of
This is a communication from the examiner in charge	<u>-</u>	1
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK	KS , , ,	er 1947 - Amerikaansk skriver
patam 12 本権。 Same A	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	than the same of the same
5 (A. 1775) 18	1 1	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	4/15/17/1	
This action is FINAL.		:
Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance excent for formal matters, prosecution	n as to the merits is closed in
accordance with the practice under Ex parte C	Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this a	action is set to expire	month(s)/ or thirty days,
whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this c the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C.	communication. Failure to respond within the	ne period for response will cause and under the provisions of 37 CFR
1.136(a).	•	•
Disposition of Claims	hith for the property of the state	and the same state of the same
•		is/are pending in the application.
Ctaim(s)(i O ` . Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
		ts/are allowed.
Claim(s)	·	IS/A/6 AllOWOU.
M Ctaim(s)		is/are rejected.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	St. 1 3 St. 1 5675 . 1 3	is/are rejected.
Ctaim(s)	gre st	is/are rejected. , is/are objected to. ubject to restriction or election requirement.
Ctaim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	are su	is/are rejected. , is/are objected to. ubject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	are su	is/are rejected. // is/are objected to. // is/are objected to. // is/are objected to. // is/are objected to. // is/are objected to.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	are su	is/are rejected. r is/are objected to. ibject to restriction or election requirement. to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are su atent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected	is/are rejected. r is/are objected to. ibject to restriction or election requirement. to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	are substant Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are objected iner.	is/are rejected. r is/are objected to. ibject to restriction or election requirement. to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examin	are substant Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are objected iner.	is/are rejected. r is/are objected to. ibject to restriction or election requirement. to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examing The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	are subjected provided in the state of the s	is/are rejected. r is/are objected to. ibject to restriction or election requirement. to by the Examiner.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	are suitent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	are subjected provided in the state of the s	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami	are suitent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreight of the CERT or received. received in Application No. (Series Code	are sultant Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a/Serial Number)	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreight of the CERT or received. received in Application No. (Series Code	are suitant Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents has	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreight of the CERT or received. received. received in Application No. (Series Code	are sultant Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a/Serial Number)	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign all Some* None of the CERT received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received:	are suitent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a compared to the priority documents ha	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the I Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and the I received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	are suitent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a compared to the priority documents ha	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign all Some* None of the CERT received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received:	are suitent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a compared to the priority documents ha	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the I Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and the CERT received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	are suitent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a compared to the priority documents ha	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign Ali Some* None of the CERT received. received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom Attachment(s)	are sultant Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are objected iner. Examiner. Ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a priority documents have a priority in from the International Bureau (PCT Rule inestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign Ali Some* None of the CERT received. received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	are sultant Drawing Review, PTO-948. Is/are objected iner. Examiner. Ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have a priority documents have a priority in from the International Bureau (PCT Rule inestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	is/are rejected. ,
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign of the CERT received. received in Application No. (Series Code received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1	are suitant Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected iner. (Examiner. ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). TIFIED copies of the priority documents have/Serial Number) on from the International Bureau (PCT Rule mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	is/are rejected. ,

Serial Number: 08/889,975

Art Unit: 2152

1. Claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Butts et al (Butts) patent no. 5,754,830, in view of Bonnaure et al (Bonnaure) patent no. 5,862,339.
- 4. As to claims 1 and 7, Butts teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method of communicating between a host computer and a remote terminal over a data network (figure 1) comprising the steps of:

establishing a first communication session between said terminal and a communication server via a first communication channel (col. 3 lines 53-65);

downloading, from said server to said terminal, communications software for communicating between said terminal and said host (col. 3 lines 53-65); and

utilizing said communications software to implement a second communications session between said terminal and said host (col. 3 line 66 - col. 4 line 14).

Serial Number: 08/889,975

Art Unit: 2152

However, Butts does not explicitly teach said terminal and said host communicates

using a second communication channel.

Bonnaure teaches a central access server downloads algorithms to a user via

modem line 1726, and user can access to variety of Internet access providers via modem

line 1718 (Abstract, figure 17, col. 17 line 21-col. 18 line 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing Art at the

time of the invention to combine the teachings of Butts and Bonnaure to use a second

communication channel for the session between said terminal and said host because it

would increase service availability for user.

5. As to claim 2, Butts teaches specifying, during said first communications session.

which communication software is desired to be downloaded (col. 4 lines 15-31).

6. As to claim 3, Butts and Bonnaure teaches the invention substantially as discussed

above; In addition, Butts teaches receiving, during said second communications session,

information from said host at said terminal; decoding the information at said terminal;

sending the decoded information to said server over said network; in response to said

step of sending, transmitting from said server to said terminal, presentation information

specifying how information received from said host at said terminal should be presented

to a user of said terminal (col. 3 line 66 - col. 4 line 31, col. 5 line 65 - col. 6 line 10).

However, Butts does not explicitly teach screen identification information.

3

Best Available Copy

Serial Number: 08/889,975

Art Unit: 2152

Official Notice is taken that screen ID information is well-known in Data Processing

Art.

It would have been obvious to include screen ID information from said host at said

terminal in Butts and Bonnaure's teachings because it would allow screen information to

be processed in a manner easily definable by a user.

7. As to claims 4 and 6, Butts teaches identifying, at said terminal, cursor position and

screen information, said information being based upon which screen is being displayed

and a position on that screen of a cursor; assembling, at said terminal, a data structure

indicative of said cursor position and screen information; transmitting said data structure

to said server; and conveying, in response to said step of transmitting, context sensitive

display information from said server to said terminal wherein said context sensitive display

information is a list of available choices for a field (col. 5 line 65 - col. 6 line 10).

8. As to claim 5, Butts teaches the invention substantially as claimed as discussed

above. In addition, Butts teaches said terminal comprises personal computer or computer

workstation (col. 3 lines 53-65), but Butts does not explicitly teach said terminal is network

computer (NC) terminal. Official Notice is taken that NC terminal is well known.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the

time of the invention to use Butts's invention in NC terminal because it would allow many

4

5

Serial Number: 08/889,975

Art Unit: 2152

different types of computers including the NC terminal to connect to host systems using applet software downloads from said server.

- 9. As to claim 7-10, they have similar limitations as claims 1-6; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank J. Asta, can be reached at (703) 305-3817.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Serial Number: 08/889,975

Art Unit: 2152

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or

(703) 308-5359 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

LE HIEN LUU
PRIMARY EXAMINED

November 24, 2000

6